



**2022 SMALL GRANTS  
FOR RESEARCH ON LOTTERY GAMBLING  
Up to \$25,000/per year in direct costs for one year  
Deadline: Sept. 1, 2022**

## **Introduction**

The International Center for Responsible Gaming and the Hoosier Lottery are collaborating on a special initiative to encourage research on responsible gambling as it relates to lottery gambling. Research projects may include but are not limited to:

- Stand-alone research projects or a pilot project;
- Preliminary research in preparation for a large research project, such as a comprehensive literature review, or instrument development and testing; and
- Research tasks subsequent to a full research project, such as follow-up testing.

## **Research Priorities**

- Examining strategies that promote the responsible gaming principles of positive play, harm minimization and treatment referral for lottery players
- Identifying the positive or negative factors that influence behaviors and beliefs among lottery players who may be at risk for problem gambling
- Determining innovative methods to reach and connect lottery players with educational resources or treatment providers
- Understanding the role technology plays in the promotion of positive play attributes or treatment of gambling disorder among lottery players
- Examining the implementation of responsible gambling principles, especially those with the least amount of research specific to lotteries; specifically, employee training, stakeholder engagement and program evaluation

## **International Center for Responsible Gaming**

The International Center for Responsible Gaming (ICRG), a nonprofit 501(c)(3) organization founded in 1996, is a global leader in scientific research on gambling disorder and responsible gambling. For a list of grants supported by the ICRG since 1996, go to

<https://www.icrg.org/research-center/icrg-funded-research>. The ICRG awards grants on a competitive basis under the leadership of the Scientific Advisory Board. Composed of leading, independent scientists with expertise in addiction and related topics, the Scientific Advisory Board plays a vital role by ensuring that the ICRG follows rigorous standards in awarding grants for only the highest quality research proposals. See page 10 for a list of current members.

## **Hoosier Lottery**

The mission of the Hoosier Lottery is to return maximum net income to the state of Indiana in a socially responsible manner. The delivery of quality games and responsible growth is complemented by a demonstrated commitment to the communities in which it operates.

Social responsibility is woven throughout the Hoosier Lottery's vision, policies, and practices using the four impact areas that comprise the Corporate Social Responsibility Program. These impact areas are Business, Responsible Gaming, Community, and Environment.

The Hoosier Lottery's responsible gaming framework has been designated as World Lottery Association Level 4 in responsible gaming. This is the highest level and the most challenging to obtain.

As a part of the responsible gaming framework, the Hoosier Lottery has dedicated funding to responsible gambling research that will contribute to the body of knowledge in Indiana surrounding problem gambling and responsible gaming. With the knowledge gained through this program, it is the hope of the Hoosier Lottery that advancement of responsible gaming initiatives can be realized by many stakeholders.

## **Available Funding**

Applicants may request up to \$25,000 in direct costs and up to \$5,000 in Facilities and Administration costs for a period not to exceed 12 months.

## **Eligible Applicants**

Domestic or international, public or private, non-profit or for-profit organizations are eligible to apply for ICRG funding. The Principal Investigator must have a PhD, MD, or other terminal degree. The ICRG encourages early career investigators to apply.

## **Review Process and Criteria**

We seek proposals of high scientific merit from investigators who show promise of disseminating their work at high-impact conferences and in peer-reviewed scientific journals.

An appropriate scientific review group convened in accordance with the standard ICRG peer review procedures, modeled on those of the National Institutes of Health (NIH), will evaluate applications for scientific and technical merit.

As part of the initial review, all applications:

- May undergo a selection process in which only those applications deemed to have the highest scientific merit, generally the top half of applications under review, will be discussed and assigned a priority score.
- Will receive a written critique in the Summary Statement.
- Will receive a second level of review by the Scientific Advisory Board, which makes the final funding decisions.

The peer review panel will evaluate proposals according to the following criteria, adapted from the NIH:

1. *Significance.* Does the project address an important problem or a critical barrier to progress in the field? If the aims of the project are achieved, how will scientific knowledge, technical capability and/or clinical practice be improved? How will successful completion of the aims change the concepts, methods, technologies, treatments, services or preventative interventions that drive this field?
2. *Investigator(s).* Are the Principal Investigator (PI), collaborators and other researchers well suited to the project? If the project is collaborative, do the investigators have complementary and integrated expertise; are their leadership approach, governance and organizational structure appropriate for the project?
3. *Innovation.* Does the application challenge and seek to shift current research or clinical practice paradigms by utilizing novel theoretical concepts, approaches or methodologies, instrumentation or interventions? Are the concepts, approaches or methodologies, instrumentation or interventions novel to one field of research or novel in a broad sense? Is a refinement, improvement or new application of theoretical concepts, approaches or methodologies instrumentation or interventions proposed?
4. *Approach.* Are the overall strategy, methodology and analyses well-reasoned and appropriate to accomplish the specific aims of the project? Are potential problems, alternative strategies and benchmarks for success presented? If the project involves clinical research, are the plans for protection of human subjects from research risks justified in terms of the scientific goals and research strategy proposed?
5. *Environment.* Will the scientific environment in which the work will be done contribute to the probability of success? Are the institutional support, equipment and other physical resources available to the investigators adequate for the project proposed? Will the project benefit from unique features of the scientific environment, subject populations or collaborative arrangements?

#### *Additional Review Criteria*

In addition to the above criteria, the following items will be considered in the determination of scientific merit and the priority score:

- *Protection of Human Subjects from Research Risk:* The involvement of human subjects and protections from research risk relating to their participation in the proposed research will be assessed.
- *Inclusion of Women, Minorities and Children in Research:* The adequacy of plans to include subjects from both genders, all racial and ethnic groups (and subgroups), and children as appropriate for the scientific goals of the research will be assessed. Plans for the recruitment and retention of subjects will also be evaluated.
- *Care and Use of Vertebrate Animals in Research:* If vertebrate animals are to be used in the project, the five items described in [PHS Form 398 research grant application instructions](#) will be assessed.
- *Biohazards:* If materials or procedures are proposed that are potentially hazardous to research personnel and/or the environment, determine if the proposed protection is adequate.

#### *Additional Review Considerations*

- *Budget:* The reasonableness of the proposed budget and the requested period of support in relation to the proposed research plan.

## **Application Instructions**

Applicants must use the application form provided on the ICRG website (<https://www.icrg.org/research-center/apply-icrg-funding>). Enter text in the shaded areas on the form. The document will automatically convert the text into Arial font.

### **Face Page** (1 page)

The *Principal Investigator* (PI) is the person responsible for the scientific and technical direction of the project and is the primary contact for the ICRG. Provide full name, degree(s), title, department, institution, mailing address, telephone number and email address.

*Date of Proposed Period of Support.* Projects may begin November 1, 2022, and conclude no later than within one year.

*Funds Requested.* Requests may not exceed \$25,000 in direct costs. Up to \$5,000 may be requested for Facilities & Administration or indirect costs.

*Applicant Organization.* The Applicant Organization is legally and financially responsible for the conduct of activities supported by the award. Provide the name and contact information of the Applicant Organization's Administrative Contact.

*Regulatory Approvals.* Please check the appropriate box to indicate the use of animals (IACUC) or human subjects (IRB) in the proposed project. Note that the PI must provide a copy of the IACUC and/or IRB letter to the ICRG before award funds will be released. Pending approvals at the time of application submission are acceptable.

*Certifications.* Provide the electronic signatures of the Principal Investigator and the Official Signing for the Organization by typing the names in the shaded box and checking the “Confirm Signature” box.

**Page Two: Project Summary/Abstract; Senior/Key Personnel; Previous Support** (one page)

Insert text in the shaded areas on the form provided.

*Project Summary/Abstract.* Provide a succinct and accurate description of the proposed work suitable for dissemination to the public. State the application's broad, long-term objectives and specific aims. Describe concisely the research design and methods for achieving the stated goals.

*Senior/Key Personnel.* In addition to the Principal Investigator, Senior/Key Personnel are defined as individuals who contribute to the scientific development or execution of the project in a substantive, measurable way, whether or not salaries are requested. In addition, stakeholders should be included under Key Personnel. Stakeholders are defined as individuals affected by the proposed research project. List the Principal Investigator, last name first. Then list all other Senior/Key Personnel in alphabetical order, last name first. For each individual, provide name, institutional affiliation and role on the project.

*Previous Support from ICRG*

Identify the PI's past grant awards from the ICRG/National Center for Responsible Gaming.

**Biographical Sketch** (maximum of five pages on your own paper)

Provide a biographical sketch for the principal investigator and senior/key personnel. Use the NIH form or download a biosketch form from <https://www.icrg.org/research-center/apply-icrg-funding>. Each biographical sketch should not exceed five pages.

**Research Plan** (four pages) Enter text into the shaded areas.

1. *Specific Aims.* State concisely the hypothesis to be tested and the specific aim(s) to be achieved during the grant period. The review panel will consider whether the aims are reasonable to achieve during the one-year period and if successful completion of the aims will improve scientific knowledge, technical capability and/or clinical practice.
2. *Background and Significance.* State the significance of the proposed project to the field. The review panel will ask: Does the project address an important problem or critical barrier to progress in the field? Does the application challenge and seek to shift current research or clinical practice paradigms by utilizing theoretical concepts, approaches or methodologies, instrumentation or interventions that are novel to one field of research or novel in the broad sense?
3. *Research Design and Methods.* Concisely present your experimental design and the methods to be used to accomplish your specific aims. Also, indicate how the results will be interpreted and how they will lead to future investigations. The review panel will ask:

Are the overall strategy, methodology and analyses well-reasoned and appropriate to accomplish the specific aims of the project?

**Human Subjects and Vertebrate Animals** (two pages)

Enter text into the shaded areas of the application form.

*Protection of Human Subjects*

If applicable, summarize your plan to protect human subjects according to the following outline:

1) Risks to Human Subjects

a) Human Subjects' Involvement and Characteristics

- Describe the proposed involvement of human subjects in the work outlined in the Research Plan section.
- Describe the characteristics of the subject population, including their anticipated number, age range and health status.
- Identify the criteria for inclusion or exclusion of any subpopulation.
- Explain the rationale for the involvement of special classes of subjects, such as fetuses, neonates, pregnant women, children, prisoners, institutionalized individuals or others who may be considered vulnerable populations. Note that "prisoners" includes all subjects involuntarily incarcerated (for example, in detention centers) as well as subjects who become incarcerated after the study begins.
- List any collaborating sites where human subjects research will be performed and describe the role of those sites and collaborating investigators in performing the proposed research.

b) Sources of Materials

- Describe the research material obtained from living individuals in the form of specimens, records or data.
- Describe any data that will be collected from human subjects for the project described in the application.
- Indicate who will have access to individually identifiable private information about human subjects.
- Provide information about how the specimens, records or data are collected and whether material or data will be collected specifically for the proposed research project.

c) Potential Risks

- Describe the potential risks to subjects (physical, psychological, financial, legal or other), and assess their likelihood and seriousness to the subjects.

- Where appropriate, describe alternative treatments and procedures, including the risks and potential benefits of the alternative treatments and procedures, to participants in the proposed research.

## 2) Adequacy of Protection Against Risks

### a) Recruitment and Informed Consent

- Describe plans for the recruitment of subjects (where appropriate) and the process for obtaining informed consent. If the proposed studies will include children, describe the process for meeting requirements for parental permission and child assent.
- Include a description of the circumstances under which consent will be sought and obtained, who will seek it, the nature of the information to be provided to prospective subjects, and the method of documenting consent. If a waiver of some or all of the elements of informed consent will be sought, provide justification for the waiver.

### b) Protections Against Risk

- Describe planned procedures for protecting against or minimizing potential risks, including risks to privacy of individuals or confidentiality of data, and assess their likely effectiveness.
- Research involving vulnerable populations, as described in the DHHS regulations, Subparts B-D, must include additional protections. Refer to DHHS regulations, and OHRP guidance ([www.hhs.gov/ohrp](http://www.hhs.gov/ohrp)).

Where appropriate, discuss plans for ensuring necessary medical or professional intervention in the event of adverse effects to the subjects. Studies that involve clinical trials (biomedical and behavioral intervention studies) must include a general description of the plan for data and safety monitoring of the research and adverse event reporting to the IRB and others, as appropriate, to ensure the safety of subjects.

## 3) Potential Benefits of the Proposed Research to Human Subjects and Others

- Discuss the potential benefits of the research to human subjects and others.
- Discuss why the risks to subjects are reasonable in relation to the anticipated benefits to research participants and others.

## 4) Importance of the Knowledge to be Gained

- Discuss the importance of the knowledge gained or to be gained as a result of the proposed research.
- Discuss why the risks to subjects are reasonable in relation to the importance of the knowledge that reasonably may be expected to result.

## 5) Data and Safety Monitoring Plan

- If the research includes a clinical trial, create a heading entitled “Data and Safety Monitoring Plan.”
- Provide a general description of a monitoring plan that you plan to establish as the overall framework for data and safety monitoring.
- Describe the entity that will be responsible for monitoring and the process by which Adverse Events will be reported.

### *Vertebrate Animals*

If vertebrate animals are involved in the project, address each of the five points below.

- 1) Provide a detailed description of the proposed use of the animals for the work outlined in the Research Plan Narrative. Identify the species, strains, ages, sex and numbers of animals to be used in the proposed work.
- 2) Justify the use of animals, the choice of species and the numbers to be used. If animals are in short supply, costly, or to be used in large numbers, provide an additional rationale for their selection and numbers.
- 3) Provide information on the veterinary care of the animals involved.
- 4) Describe the procedures for ensuring that discomfort, distress, pain and injury will be limited to that which is unavoidable in the conduct of scientifically sound research. Describe the use of analgesic, anesthetic, and tranquilizing drugs, and/or comfortable restraining devices, where appropriate, to minimize discomfort, distress, pain and injury.
- 5) Describe any method of euthanasia to be used and the reason(s) for its selection. State whether this method is consistent with the recommendations of the American Veterinary Medical Association (AVMA) Guidelines on Euthanasia. If not, include a scientific justification for not following the recommendations.

### **Budget** (one page)

Use the form provided to present a summary of the proposed budget.

#### *Allowable Cost Items:*

- **Personnel.** Allowable personnel expenses include salary and applicable fringe benefits for the PI, post-docs and graduate students (if they receive a salary) and other professional and technical staff.
- **Consultant Costs.** Identify consultants by name and estimate the number of days of service and rate of compensation.
- **Study participants.** Costs of recruitment (e.g., purchase of advertising), payments to subjects, patient care and other costs associated with the use of participants in the study.
- **Facilities and Administration.** Up to \$5,000.

- Travel. ICRG grantees are required to present a poster at the annual ICRG Conference on Gambling and Addiction. Budget for travel to the conference in Las Vegas, Nev.

### *Unallowable Cost Items*

Funding will not be provided for the following:

- Administrative personnel
- Stipends
- Office equipment and furniture
- Tuition
- Dues and membership fees
- Maintenance/service contracts
- Construction, alteration, maintenance or rental of buildings or building space
- Recruiting/relocation expenses
- Entertainment/social expenses
- Pre-award costs

### *Budget Justification*

In the space below the Budget Summary, explain and justify costs presented, providing calculations to demonstrate how amounts were determined. Enter text in the shaded area.

### **Appendix**

The Appendix should include items such as a list of references cited and letters of support. In addition, if the research plan involves human subjects, please include a targeted/planned enrollment form, available for download from <https://www.icrg.org/research-center/apply-icrg-funding>.

### **Submission Process**

1. Create a single PDF document named as follows: PI's Last Name\_Small\_2022. Use a PDF-creation software such as Adobe® Acrobat® Professional to create the PDF rather than scanning hard copies to produce a PDF. Such files can be difficult to e-mail or open and, therefore, will not be accepted for review. Upload the document to the ICRG's Editorial Express website: <https://editorialexpress.com/cgi-bin/e-editor/e-submit.cgi?dbase=icrg> (by 5 p.m. (Eastern) on Sept. 1, 2022).

Questions? Contact Christine Reilly, Senior Research Director, ([creilly@icrg.org](mailto:creilly@icrg.org); 978-338-6610).

## ICRG Scientific Advisory Board

### *Chair*

#### **Linda B. Cottler, PhD, MPH**

Dean's Professor, Department of Epidemiology, College of Medicine and  
College of Public Health and Health Professions  
Associate Dean for Research and Planning, College of Public Health and Health Professions  
Professor, Department of Psychiatry  
University of Florida

### *Board Members*

#### **Tammy Chung, PhD**

Director, Center for Population Behavioral Health Professor of Psychiatry  
Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey

#### **David C. Hodgins, PhD**

Professor of Psychology  
University of Calgary

#### **Miriam Jorgensen, PhD**

Research Director, Native Nations Institute  
University of Arizona  
Research Director  
Harvard Project on American Indian Economic Development  
Harvard University

#### **Gloria Miele, PhD**

Program Director, Opioid and Stimulant Implementation Support  
UCLA Integrated Substance Abuse Programs  
Chair, UCLA ISAP Continuing Medical Education Committee

#### **T. Celeste Napier, PhD**

Professor of Psychiatry  
Director, Center for Compulsive Behavior and Addiction  
Rush University

---

International Center for Responsible Gaming  
900 Cummings Center, Suite 219-U  
Beverly, MA 01915  
Tel: 978-338-6610  
Fax: 978-522-8452  
[www.icrg.org](http://www.icrg.org)